

Appl. No.: 10/532,353
Response dated January 8, 2007
Reply to Office action of November 17, 2006

REMARKS

It is noted that the three claim groups identified within the election requirement are directed to dependent claims. This is somewhat unusual. Moreover, the assertions that the sub-combinations are usable together is somewhat of an incorrect assertion and that the dependent claims are part of a base device. Thus, it would be somewhat impossible for a base device to be used with itself albeit, with different features. Regardless, election is hereby made and examination of the ??? is respectfully requested.

If there are any further fees resulting from this communication, please charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, Order No. 38148.

Respectfully submitted,
PEARNE & GORDON LLP



Ronald M. Kachmarik, Reg. No. 34512

1801 East 9th Street
Suite 1200
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108
216-579-1700

January 8, 2007